

## LETTER TO THE EDITOR

# Rural generalist anesthetists have the expertise to help plan for the anesthesia healthcare needs in their communities

## AUTHORS



Beverley A. Orser<sup>1</sup> MD, PhD, FRCPC, FRSC, FCAHS, Chair and Professor \*

Ruth Wilson<sup>2</sup> MD, CM, Professor Emerita

#### CORRESPONDENCE

\*Dr Beverley A. Orser beverley.orser@utoronto.ca

#### AFFILIATIONS

<sup>1</sup> Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Temerty Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada; and Department of Anesthesia, Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Toronto, ON, Canada

<sup>2</sup> Department of Family Medicine, Queen's University, Kingston, ON, Canada

PUBLISHED

9 June 2023 Volume 23 Issue 2

HISTORY RECEIVED: 8 March 2023

REVISED: 12 May 2023

ACCEPTED: 31 May 2023

#### CITATION

Orser BA, Wilson R. Rural generalist anesthetists have the expertise to help plan for the anesthesia healthcare needs in their communities. Rural and Remote Health 2023; 23: 8284. https://doi.org/10.22605/RRH8284

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence

### FULL ARTICLE:

#### Dear Editor

We read with interest the scoping review by Gilchrist and colleagues addressing the question, 'What is the current evidence of the safety of anaesthesia delivered by rural generalist anaesthetists (RGAs)?'<sup>1</sup> The authors conclude that there is a need to characterize and describe the role of RGAs, review and revise their training and education, recognize their scope of practice, and understand how they lead the management of safety and risk. We congratulate the authors on this comprehensive, well-written, and timely report and wish to draw attention to two key points raised in the review.

First, the authors warn against adopting urban-centric social, political, and administrative perspectives in the training of RGAs. The same warning should be heeded when addressing workforce

shortages of RGAs. This point is illustrated by a situation in Canada where a lack of knowledge about the nuances and complexities of rural anesthetic practice has led to a misguided and simplistic attempt to solve anesthesia workforce shortages.

In Canada, like Australia, family physicians provide essential anesthesia services in rural locations. They also provide other crucial healthcare services, including emergency, obstetric, critical, and primary care. The government of British Columbia recently proposed a plan to introduce nurse anesthetists in rural settings but did so without meaningfully consulting with rural communities, Indigenous leadership, provincial faculties of medicine, or relevant professional organizations, such as the Canadian Anesthesiologists' Society, and the College of Family Physicians of Canada<sup>2.3</sup>. Implementing this short-sighted plan would introduce a group of single-skilled health professionals into a rural

environment, where generalists' skills are required. Neither nurseanesthetists nor specialty-trained anesthesiologists can provide the generalist medical skills that are required in many rural communities. Gilchrist and colleagues also questioned whether we should seek local leadership, rather than assume the leadership will come from urban centres. Ministries of health need to work with local multidisciplinary rural healthcare teams, which have the knowledge and adaptive expertise to help plan for the needs of their communities. An example of such a collaborative approach is the Rural Coordination Centre of British Columbia<sup>4</sup>. This program involves hundreds of stakeholders who work collaboratively through trusting relationships to improve access to high-quality care. A second example of a 'local' approach is a Consensus Statement that offers recommendations to guide the development of networks that support high-quality anesthesia, surgery, and maternity care<sup>5</sup>. A third example is the Rural Surgical and Obstetrical Networks<sup>6</sup>. This program supports 10 of the smallest and remote surgical and maternity programs in British Columbia. Finally, a newly introduced idea is the National Advanced Skills and Training Program for Rural Practice. This program seeks to upskill practicing physicians nationwide with the intension of increasing retention of physicians in rural, remote, and Indigenous communities<sup>7</sup>.

The second point that we would like to emphasize is that

assessments of safety in rural practice often focus on avoiding and mitigating risk, and must be balanced against the impacts of a lack of anesthetic care. The consequences of the lack of access to care due to the withdrawal of anesthesia, surgical and maternal first responders in rural setting are rarely, if ever, measured. The need to ensure high-quality care in low-volume practices is paramount, particularly for elective procedures; however, the impact of a withdrawal of services must also be considered. If a patient dies because obstetrical and operative services are unavailable in their rural setting, the metrics related to perioperative safety and mortality indicate that patients are 'safe', but the outcome is in fact devastating.

In summary, we thank Gilchrist and colleagues as their excellent scoping review highlights the need to understand the nature of the work through broad consultation with local leaders and the need to assess the consequences of withdrawal of service when planning for anesthesia, surgical and obstetrical services in rural communities.

Beverley A. Orser, Chair and Professor, Department of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine, Temerty Faculty of Medicine, University of Toronto, Ontario, Canada C. Ruth Wilson, Professor Emerita, Department of Family Medicine, Queen's University, Ontario, Canada

## **REFERENCES**:

1 Gilchrist PT, Walters L, Ward P. The safety of anaesthesia delivered by rural generalist anaesthetists: a scoping review of the literature. *Rural and Remote Health* 2023; **23:** 7358. DOI link, PMid:36724490

**2** Orser BA, Wilson CR. Canada needs a national strategy for anesthesia services in rural and remote regions. *CMAJ* 2020; **192:** E861-E863. DOI link, PMid:32719023

**3** Canadian Anesthesiologists Society. *Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetists.* 2023. Available: web link (Accessed 10 May 2023).

4 Rural Coordination Centre of BC. BC rural update. 2021.

Available: web link (Accessed 10 May 2023).

**5** Iglesias S, Carson G, CR, Orser BA, Urbach DR, Falk R. Consensus Statement on Networks for High-Quality Rural Anesthesia, Surgery, and Obstetric Care in Canada. *Canadian Family Physician* 2022; **68**: 258-262. DOI link, PMid:35418389

**6** Iglesias S, Kornelsen J. An evidence-based program for rural surgical and obstetrical networks. *Rural and Remote Health* 2018; **18(4):** 172-176. DOI link, PMid:30507247

**7** Society of Rural Physicians of Canada. *National Advanced Skills and Training Program for Rural Practice*. 2023. Available: web link (Accessed 10 May 2023).

This PDF has been produced for your convenience. Always refer to the live site https://www.rrh.org.au/journal/article/8284 for the Version of Record.