

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Effect of COVID-19 containment measures on access to snakebite care in India

AUTHORS

Soumyadeep Bhaumik¹ PhD *

Gian Luca Di Tanna² PhD, Professor

Deepti Beri³ MSW

Amritendu Bhattacharya⁴ MSc

Pratyush Kumar⁵ DNB, pratyush410@yahoo.co.in

Surajit Giri⁶ MD

Maya Gopalakrishnan⁷ MD

Sadanand Raut⁸ MD

Amol Hartalkar ⁹ MD



Sumanth Mallikarjuna Majgi 10 MD

Jagnoor Jagnoor¹¹ PhD

CORRESPONDENCE

*Dr Soumyadeep Bhaumik sbhaumik@georgeinstitute.org.in

AFFILIATIONS

^{1, 11} The George Institute for Global Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia; and The George Institute for Global Health, New Delhi, India

² The George Institute for Global Health, University of New South Wales, Sydney, Australia; and Department of Innovative Technologies, University of Applied Sciences and Arts of Southern Switzerland, Lugano, Switzerland

^{3, 4} The George Institute for Global Health, New Delhi, India

⁵ Patna Medical College and Hospital, Patna, Bihar, India

- ⁶ Demow Community Health Centre, Sivasagar, Assam, India
- ⁷ All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Jodhpur, Rajasthan, India
- ⁸ Vighnahar Nursing Home, Pune, Maharashtra, India

⁹ Dr. Amol Hartalkar's Cardio-Diabetes Clinic, Pune, Maharashtra, India

¹⁰ Mysore Medical College and Research Institute, Mysore, Karnataka, India

PUBLISHED

4 July 2023 Volume 23 Issue 3

HISTORY

RECEIVED: 22 September 2022

REVISED: 19 January 2023

ACCEPTED: 10 March 2023

CITATION

Bhaumik S, Di Tanna G, Beri D, Bhattacharya A, Kumar P, Giri S, Gopalakrishnan M, Raut S, Hartalkar A, Majgi SM, Jagnoor J. Effect of COVID-19 containment measures on access to snakebite care in India. Rural and Remote Health 2023; 23: 7881. https://doi.org/10.22605 /RRH7881

ETHICS APPROVAL

The study has been approved by the institutional ethics committee of The George Institute for Global Health (09/2020), and Mysore Medical College and Research Institute (dated 12th May 2020).

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence

ABSTRACT:

Introduction: The extensive spread of COVID-19 meant action to address the pandemic took precedence over routine service delivery, thus impacting access to care for many health conditions, including the effects of snakebite.

Method: We prospectively collected facility-level data from several health facilities in India, including number of snakebite admissions and snakebite envenoming admissions on modality of transport to reach the health facility. To analyse the effect of a health facility being in cluster-containment zone, we used negative binomial regression analysis.

Results: Our findings suggest that that health facilities located within a COVID containment zone saw a significant decrease in total snakebite admissions (incidence rate ratio 0.64 (0.43–0.94), standard error 0.13, $p \le 0.02$)) and envenoming snakebite

admissions (incidence rate ratio 0.43 (0.23–0.81), standard error 0.14, $p \le 0.01$) compared to when health facilities were not within a COVID containment zone. There was no statistically significant difference in non-envenoming admissions and modalities of transport used to reach health facilities.

Conclusion: This article provides the first quantitative estimate of the impact of COVID-19 containment measures on access to snakebite care. More research is needed to understand how containment measures altered care-seeking pathways and the nature of snake-human-environment conflict. Primary healthcare systems need to be safeguarded for snakebite care to mitigate effects of cluster-containment measures.

Keywords: COVID-19, health system, India, pandemic, snakehuman conflict, snakebite.

FULL ARTICLE:

Introduction

Snakebite was recognised as a neglected tropical disease by the WHO in 2017. Subsequently, in 2019, the WHO released a global strategy to decrease its burden to 50% by 2030¹. The extensive spread of COVID-19 meant action to address the pandemic (diversion of health system resources, mobility restrictions and economic impacts) took precedence over action on other health conditions, including the effects of snakebite². To the best of our knowledge, currently there is no quantitative estimation on the effect of containment measures for COVID-19 on snakebite care. Understanding the effect is important for public health agencies, health service providers, as well as policy-makers, to plan for future health systems resilience. We, thus aimed to fill this gap by trying to understand the association between access to snakebite care in India with a health facility being within a COVID-19 cluster-containment zone.

The cluster-containment strategy to prevent spread of COVID-19 was operationalised in India from May 2020. Broadly, the strategy consisted of setting up a perimeter with restricted movement (together with enhanced surveillance and contact tracing), called a containment area, in a defined geographic area with a cluster of COVID-19 cases, based on risk assessment³. The strategy was largely successful in containing COVID-19 in the first wave of COVID-19 in India, but concerns about the strategy not being able to safeguard other acute medical emergencies has been raised.

Methods

We collected data prospectively on hospital admission due to snakebite, referral and modality of transport used to reach the health facility. Data was collected from seven health facilities, in the states of Assam (one community health centre), Bihar (one rural general practice), Maharashtra (one nursing home and one rural general practice), Rajasthan (one tertiary care centre) and Karnataka (one tertiary care hospital and one non-profit primary health centre). We used facility-level data, and treating physicians made decisions on whether snakebites were envenoming or nonenvenoming, as per facility protocols. Data were entered every two weeks using a secure online platform

(https://redcap.thegeorgeinstitute.org) from May 2020 to October 2020. In addition to facility data, we collected information on whether a health facility was located within a government-declared COVID-19 containment zone or not.

To analyse the effect of a health facility being in clustercontainment zone, we used negative binomial regression analysis. Negative binomial regression analysis is based on Poisson-gamma mixture distribution and can be used to predict count-based data. We chose this analysis method because our dependent variables (number of admissions, referrals and types of transport used) consist of only non-negative integer values and the variance of the dependent variables was greater than the mean. A Poisson mixed model also allows for incorporation of both fixed and random effects for count data⁴. In our study, this allowed us to incorporate differences in the dependent variable between hospitals (random effect) and within hospital (fixed effect), wherein data have been collected at equal repeated time intervals.

Ethics approval

The study was approved by the institutional ethics committee of The George Institute for Global Health (09/2020), All India Institute of Medical Sciences, Jodhpur (2020-21/2032), and Mysore Medical College and Research Institute and Associated Hospitals (dated 12 May 2020). The study was conducted in accordance with National Guidelines for Ethics Committees Reviewing Biomedical & Health Research During Covid-19 Pandemic (April 2020).

Results

There were 451 admissions due to snakebite (179 envenoming, 39.69%; 272 non-envenoming, 60.31%) in the seven health facilities.

For regression, we used data of 352 admissions due to snakebite (127 venomous, 36.08%; 225 non-envenoming, 63.92%) from five participating health facilities. We excluded two health facilities: one that was declared a COVID-19 facility (which led to a surge of cases, making data collection impossible) and one that recorded only one snakebite admission during the entire study period.

We found that health facilities located within a COVID containment zone had statistically significant decreases in total snakebite admissions (36%; incidence rate ratio (IRR)=0.64; 95% confidence interval (CI)=0.43–0.94; standard error (SE)=0.13; p=0.02)) and in envenoming snakebite admissions (57%; IRR=0.43; 95%CI=0.23–0.81; SE=0.14; p=0.01), in comparison to when the health facilities were not within a COVID containment zone. There was no statistically significant difference for a health facility's location within a COVID containment zone or not for number of non-envenoming snakebite admissions, or due to different modalities of transport used to reach health facilities (Table 1).

Table 1: Association of incidence rate ratios between facility location within a COVID containment zone or not with facilitylevel snakebite parameters

Characteristic	Incidence rate ratio	95% confidence interval	Standard error	<i>p</i> -value
Hospital admission due to snakebite				
Total snakebite admissions	0.64	0.43-0.94	0.13	0.02*
Snakebite envenoming admissions	0.43	0.23-0.81	0.14	0.01**
Non-envenoming snakebite admissions	0.84	0.49-1.44	0.23	0.53
Referral from other health facility for snakebit	e			
Referral from other health facility	0.50	0.21-1.23	0.23	0.13
Modality of transport to reach health facility for	or snakebite			
Ambulance (any type)	0.61	0.22-1.66	0.31	0.33
Non-ambulance (private/hired car)	0.79	0.42-1.49	0.26	0.48
p<0.05, **p<0.01, ***p<0.001.				

Discussion

The findings of this study suggest a significant decrease in total and envenoming admissions with no difference in nonenvenoming admissions or transport modalities due to institution of COVID-19 containment measures in India.

The decrease in total admissions and snakebite envenoming admissions might be due to decrease in community incidence or alteration in care-seeking pathway. The decrease in incidence of snakebite in community is plausible because the change in human activity (less mobility and increased time spent in and around dwellings) due to containment measures might have altered the human–snake-environment interface. It is known that this interface is altered by anthropogenic activity⁵. It is also possible that the decreased admissions were a result of altered care-seeking pathways.

A qualitative study involving key informants reported perceptions on decreased number of snakebite admissions due to avoidance of a health facility for fear of COVID-19, barriers in testing and several other access issues⁶. Considering the non-significance for nonenvenoming admissions, and the transport modalities to reach health facilities, it is possible that a more complex interaction occurred, consisting of differential alteration of both the human– snake-environment interface and care-seeking pathway between envenoming and non-envenoming cases.

The findings of the present study are context-specific but based on data from a diverse but limited number of health facilities in India. Longitudinal mapping and data collected by transdisciplinary teams on the changing nature of human-snake interactions are needed to understand the issue better. Modelling using data from a wider set of health facilities for several years can provide a better understanding of the effect of COVID-19 on snakebite, including quantitative estimates of the impact across different types of health facilities. Data from India's national health profile and the Integrated Disease Surveillance Programme might be used for this purpose but it does not report on modalities of transport⁷ and is mostly limited to public health facilities. It is also known that the official statistics for snakebite cases as captured through these portals is massively undercounted. Research to better understand how care-seeking pathways are altered due to COVID containment measures is also needed.

Conclusion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the only study providing quantitative evidence on the effect of COVID-19 containment measures on access to snakebite care. Understanding the effect of pandemic on snakebite can help develop better multicomponent health systems interventions⁸ that are resilient to crises such as pandemics and climate change. Augmentation and safeguarding

of snakebite care at the primary healthcare system level are necessary when containment measures for pandemic control are being instituted.

Statement of funding

SB is supported by the University International Postgraduate Award by the University of New South Wales. SB has received a NIHR-RSTMH small grant on snakebite.

REFERENCES:

1 Minghui R, Malecela MN, Cooke E, Abela-Ridder B. WHO's Snakebite Envenoming Strategy for prevention and control. *Lancet Global Health* 2019; **7(7)**: e837-e838. DOI link, PMid:31129124

2 Moos B. Snakebite in the wake of COVID-19 – what's next? *Journal of Venom Research* 2020; **10:** 30-31.

3 Ministry of Health and Family Welfare India. *Containment Plan: Novel Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID 19).* 2020. Available: web link (Accessed 18 January 2023).

4 Bono R, Alarcón R, Blanca MJ. report quality of generalized linear mixed models in psychology: a systematic review. *Frontiers in Psychology* 2021; **12:** 1345. DOI link, PMid:33967923

5 Malhotra A, Wüster W, Owens JB, Hodges CW, Jesudasan A, Ch G, et al. Promoting co-existence between humans and venomous

snakes through increasing the herpetological knowledge base. *Toxicon: X* 2021; **12:** 100081. DOI link, PMid:34522881

6 van Oirschot J, Ooms GI, Waldmann B, Kadam P. Snakebite incidents, prevention and care during COVID-19: global key-informant experiences. *Toxicon: X* 2021; **9-10:** 100075. DOI link, PMid:34386765

7 Rubeshkumar P, Sakthivel M, Venkatasamy V, Ponnaiah M, Murhekar M. Secular trends of grossly underreported snakebite burden in India, 2009-2018: analysis of data from India's National Health Profile. *Transactions of Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2021; **115(5):** 557-560. DOI link, PMid:33823554

8 Bhaumik S, Gopalakrishnan M, Meena P. Mitigating the chronic burden of snakebite: turning the tide for survivors. *Lancet* 2021;
398(10309): 1389-1390. DOI link, PMid:34537105

This PDF has been produced for your convenience. Always refer to the live site https://www.rrh.org.au/journal/article/7881 for the Version of Record.